

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/556,245	11/10/2005	Robert Frans Maria Hendriks	NL030516US1	6705	
24737 PHILIPS INTI	7590 05/26/200 ELLECTUAL PROPER	EXAM	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3001			NGUYEN	NGUYEN, THANH T	
BRIARCLIFF	MANOR, NY 10510	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2893		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/26/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action					
Before the Filing of an Appeal B	rie				

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/556,245	HENDRIKS ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
THANH T. NGUYEN	2893		

	THANH T. NGUYEN	2893					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 11 May 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.					
 N The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 operiods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.						
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07	f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL.	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	diance with 27 CER 41 37 must be	filed within two months	of the date of				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	appeal. Since a				
AMENDMENTS							
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, 			cause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		E below);					
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beappeal; and/or 		ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE:	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co.	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324)				
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		npilant runananiani (
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the				
7. \(\bar{N} \) for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none. Claim(s) objected to: none. Claim(s) objected to: none. Claim(s) rejected: 1-12. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none.		l be entered and an e	xplanation of				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bubecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
11. Si The request for reconsideration has been considered by Applicant contends that Ravkin does not teech producin pattern and using the atached particles on the stamp to Ravkin clearly teaches producing a stamp (1320, see fire to a surface of an auxiliary body (133) see figure 55, p. see paragraph# 456-4571 to imprint an imprintable mate bodies that are utifized to enable an analysis of biologics.	g a stamp by attaching particles to imprint an imprintable material. The 1.55, paragraph# 456) by attaching aragraph# 456-457); and using the rial (1330). Applicant further conte	a surface of an auxilia is is not found persua: particles (1314, see p attached particles (13 nds that particles of R	ry body in a sive because paragraph# 454) 14, (figure 55, avkin are small				

found persuasive because nowhere in the claims define the particle having specific material/dimension...etc. Therefore, any small bodies can use as particles. Hence the method of claims 1-12 are still unpatentable over prior art for the reasons in the

Final Rejection.

12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). _____

13. Other: ____

/Thanh T. Nguyen/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2893

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20090522